1	
2	
3	
4	DEGEODATION ADVITODY DOADD MEETING
5	RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
6	FORT MCCLELLAN, ALABAMA
7	
8	
9	
10	JANUARY 11, 1999
11	6:30 p.m.
12	
13	
14	BUILDING 141A
15	CONFERENCE ROOM
16	FORT MCCLELLAN, ALABAMA
17	
18	***
19	Taken before Donna D. Gallahar, Court
20	Reporter and Commissioner for the State
21	of Alabama at Large.
22	~
23	

1	REPORTER'S INDEX
2	
3	CAPTION
4	INDEX
5	RAB MEETING
6	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATEPage 60-61
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	MR. CONROY: I apologize that the
2	majority of our group is over here and not
3	over here. But I guess there's nothing we can
4	do about that. We'll go ahead and get
5	started. And I guess I'll go ahead and call
6	the role right off the bat. Embarrassingly
7	there are not very many people on the roster.
8	Ron Levy.
9	MR. LEVY: Here.
10	MR. CONROY: Mark Anderson, Ike Brown,
11	James Buford, Barry Cox.
12	MR. COX: Here.
13	MR. CONROY: Don Cunningham, Jerome
14	Elser.
15	MR. ELSER: Here.
16	MR. CONROY: Alan Faust, Mary Harrington,
17	Ron Hood.
18	MR. HOOD: Here.
19	MR. CONROY: John Johnson, Ed Kimbrough.
20	MR. KIMBROUGH: Here.
21	MR. CONROY: Margarette Longstreth.
22	MS. LONGSTRETH: Here.
23	MR. CONROY: James Miller, Jimmy Parks.

1	MR. PARKS: Here.
2	MR. CONROY: Fern Thomassy, Charles
3	Turner, Bart Reedy and Chris Johnson. Poor
4	attendance, I apologize. We certainly
5	appreciate you folks from the Department of
6	Transportation coming up this evening, and I
7	know you want to get back to Montgomery. I've
8	made that drive a hundred times, so let's get
9	started so you guys get on the road as soon as
10	possible. Ron?
11	MR. LEVY: Does anybody have any comments
12	on the minutes that were sent out? At least
13	we can take comments even though we may not be
14	able to approve them. Any changes, deletions?
15	(Mr. Buford enters.)
16	MR. LEVY: Just take a quick look at the
17	minutes, and they should have been sent to you
18	by mail, so if you've got any comments, let us
19	know. In fact, if you've got any comments in
20	terms of formatting of the minutes let us know
21	about that as well. Let's take a look at the

formatting of the minutes and make it easier $% \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{$

for folks that don't appreciate this method.

22

23

1	Okay, no comments, let's move into the
2	program. Well, let me introduce David
3	Skridulis from Huntsville. David is from the
4	Huntsville center. He is the project manager
5	for Fort McClellan for the Unexploded
6	Ordinance Program. We've asked him to come to
7	talk about a piece of that project, and as you
8	all know we have divided Fort McClellan into
9	three areas in terms of UXO, we've got the
10	Eastern Bypass, we've got the remaining
11	development area, and we've got the
12	Choccolocco Mountains. David's been asked to
13	talk about where we are with the Eastern
14	Bypass because of the input we got from the
15	RAB in the past. I'm going to let him take it
16	from here.
17	MR. SKRIDULIS: Thanks, Ron. Like Ron
18	said, my main purpose here tonight is to let
19	everybody know first of all, what's been going
20	on as far as the UXO work on the bypass. We
21	have done quite a few things, and also to let
22	you know what our schedule is from here on
23	out. And I'll get to the bottom line right

1	away. Basically, we've got the money and the
2	resources and schedule-wise, we'll be there to
3	support everything the DOT needs, so that
4	should not be an issue. As Ron mentioned,
5	when I first came on the project I was given
6	three priorities for the clean up of the UXO
7	with the Eastern Bypass being the number one
8	priority. This map here is kind of squashed
9	down, but I think a lot of you have seen the
10	intending route of the bypass and the main
11	thing I wanted to show you on this map here is
12	our overall process, what we are doing as far
13	as making sure this area is free and clear of
14	ordinance waste. This area up in here is
15	highly contaminated. We've done a lot of walk
16	arounds out there and you can basically take
17	three or four steps and you are going to run
18	into something. So we're not doing a whole
19	lot in the way of trying to study that area,
20	we know it has to be cleaned up. However, we
21	have a process that the acronym for is called
22	EECA process which stands for Engineering
23	Evaluation Cost Analysis. And that process is

what we are using to look at the remainder of the route to assure ourselves that either there is an issue somewhere or there is not.

And I'll get into a little more detail on that as we go along. So our studies efforts are not studying this area because we know it's bad, but we are studying these areas and those areas down there.

These are our major milestones for this particular effort on the Eastern Bypass. Back in June of '98 we awarded the contract to Zapata Engineering, they're out of Charlotte, an engineering firm. And they are doing the EECA analysis for us. They have been out here and they walked the full length of the bypass, a lot of different areas. We provided them with some what we call historical photo interpretations. Back when we first started this project we did an aerial flyover back in the spring before the leaves came out on the trees so we could get a good picture of the ground. And we used that information and we used historical photos that go back to like

1	1940's on up to present day. And a lot of
2	that information, well actually I'll explain a
3	little bit later, but we used the Oakridge
4	National Laboratories out of Oakridge,
5	Tennessee, to do our photo interpretation.
6	And they basically go through the old photos,
7	they run software on it. What they are
8	looking for is patterns of ground disturbance
9	to try to pinpoint areas where something is
10	going on, either training or impact area or
11	whatever. So that information was done on the
12	Eastern Bypass and given to our contractor who
13	is doing the EECA. And they have that
14	information along with the field
15	reconnaissance that they have done, and their
16	plans are now to go out, they should be out in
17	the field sometime towards the end of this
18	month. And the areas that were identified as
19	areas of concern, they're going to be doing
20	some brush clearing first, and then they'll go
21	back in with geophysical instrumentation to
22	try to see if there's anything that's buried
23	under the surface in those areas.

1	As that process is going along, we also,
2	in order to support DOT on the bypass, we will
3	be awarding a contract in probably February or
4	March to have a contractor come out here and
5	do surface clearance on that impact area that
6	we know is contaminated. The main reason for
7	doing that is when the DOT folks want to get
8	in there and start doing their initial
9	surveying and taking their geotechnical
10	samples, they will have to go through that
11	area and we need to have that cleared for them
12	prior to them going in there. Once we finish
13	the surface clearance then we'll go back into
14	that area, the impact area, and also in the
15	other areas that Zapata folks identify as
16	being of concern from the EECA analysis, this
17	is specifically a study phase and then we'll
18	go back in and do a subsurface clearance on
19	any of the areas that need to do that. And
20	we'll have to go down to whatever depth is
21	necessary to assure ourselves when the highway
22	construction is going down and they are going
23	to be cutting through hills, that they don't

run into something. So we'll start, once we get the surface clearance, as soon as we get that done, right now we think it might take about three months. It could take shorter or longer depending on weather and other things. But as soon as we finish that, we'll get into the subsurface plans. And we should pretty much have somebody either out there or available at any time that the DOT folks need to get out there to do any type of work. Next one there.

These are some of the actions that we completed. I mentioned earlier that we have done an aerial survey, photographic survey.

We've also done a digital, it's a 3-D terrain model which we have supplied that to the Department of Transportation and they are using that, in some of their preliminary design work. We've done historical photo interpretations and the ground recon, and we're at the point now where we've just about got out work plans wrapped up. Ron and Bart and Chris and everybody has reviewed those,

taken their comments and incorporated them,

and that is the work plan that we're going to

use for the work that I mentioned we will

start late this month or early February.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Okay, next one. This is a lot of information here. And you can probably go over that at your leisure. But the only point I wanted to make here is that the historical photo interpretation, this is really a scientific quasi-art type of scenario. There's a lot of work that goes into those other than somebody just looking at the photograph. And the Oakridge folks, they have custom software so they can go back and they can factor in things like diseases in the trees and know if there's an open area in 1947 was that because of man's actions or something outside of our control. And they go through this whole process and they basically come back the next couple of slides I'll go through pretty fast. But they come back with maps for us and they show us all the areas where there's been some type of land use that may

1	point us to an impact area or possible UXO.
2	Essentially this is one of the historical
3	photos. It's been copied several times so
4	it's not showing up real clear here. But they
5	started with the area, this is the area up
6	here near the gate at the top of the bypass.
7	Next one. And then they have a sequence of
8	photos. This particular slide went from '54
9	up to '94, so that's just showing you, you can
10	kind of eyeball, you can see some of the
11	differences there just by eye, but they are
12	using the computer too to go in and do a
13	little more analysis on that.
14	MR. LEVY: David, the aerial photography,
15	that was acquired by Oakridge or is that the
16	photography we provided you for that area?
17	MR. SKRIDULIS: These photographs came
18	from the Archives in St. Louis. They
19	originally put together the archives search
20	report, so that's where we got most of those.
21	The only new thing we did was the flyover back
22	in Spring.
23	MR. LEVY: But you don't have anything

before the mid '40's, do you?

MR. SKRIDULIS: I think we do. On this particular grid here that we're looking at, that's as far as they went back. But I think in some of the areas, other areas I remember seeing something like 1941 to maybe even '39. So it's not, you know, we don't have exact records. We don't have from one year the whole facility all the way out. It's kind of been pieced together with what we could find.

And then finally what we end up getting back from Oakridge this is the route pulled down and the map and everything, and this over here kind of shows you all the different lane cover items. Again, they were able to obtain information to show the changes. Next one. But what we end up getting is a series of different maps, and finally the end result, and this is real fuzzy too. Hopefully it shows up a little better in your handouts. What we end up getting here is different numbered items on the map and there's an index that goes along with that that gives us some

1	idea what that particular item is, if it was a
2	road that was cut or what they think it is,
3	some possibility. And then this information
4	was all taken by our contractor doing the work
5	out on the bypass, and they take that and
6	analyze it, and that's how they determine what
7	areas to look at on the field reconnaissance.
8	So that's kind of the final result of the
9	historical photo interpretation.
10	MR. CONROY: These lots of little numbers
11	in the upper left-hand diagram, what do they
12	refer to?
13	MR. SKRIDULIS: Well, any number of
14	things. You know, like they can be one that
15	says they may be able to pinpoint and say
16	well, you know, that's where they cut a side
17	road off the existing road or there was a
18	building that was constructed in that area,
19	training area. Each of those dots represents
20	something that they think was significant as
21	far as a change in the use of the land there.
22	And either they can resolve it by going back

and saying oh, yeah, we see there was a

23

1	building put there. Or if they don't have any
2	idea what it was, then that's one we're real
3	interested in that we would go back and look
4	at in the field. So, it's kind of just a way
5	of narrowing down and getting a really big
6	picture of everything we're going to look at,
7	and this is a way to help us focus in. You
8	know, if we have an area that we know the land
9	use hasn't changed and there hasn't been any
10	activity there, then there's a good bet
11	there's nothing there. Now we'll probably
12	still walk through that area just to see if
13	there was anything on the surface. But it's
14	just one of tools that we use to help us kind
15	of get focused down.
16	MR. LEVY: Each of those areas that have
17	been identified as, you know, there is
18	something there is physically going to be
19	looked at from a ground reconnaissance
20	standpoint.
21	MR. SKRIDULIS: It may physically be
22	looked at, but then again it may be something

that they, what's the term I'm looking for,

23

1	they can coordinate it with other site
2	development information that shows that a
3	building was put there. In that case, they
4	say okay, you know, we knew the building was
5	constructed in this time that's when the land
6	use change shows up, so there's probably not
7	an issue on that particular spot there. It's
8	not that every single, every one of these dots
9	is not necessarily going to end up being an
10	area of concern.
11	MR. LEVY: I understand that.
12	MR. SKRIDULIS: If we can't justify or we
13	can't rationalize what the land use change is
14	for there or when it occurred, then we have to
15	go and look further.
16	MR. LEVY: I understand. And if you are
17	at that point, then it's actual physically on
18	the ground let's see what's there?
19	MR. SKRIDULIS: Right.
20	MR. LEVY: But there's no instrumentation
21	involved at this point?
22	MR. SKRIDULIS: No, at that point it's
23	just physically going out there and taking a

1 look. Now, since they have gone out there, 2 and this is what's in their work plans now, 3 some of the areas they have looked at and walked through, they had some reason to 5 believe that, you know, maybe we ought to look 6 a little bit closer in this area, because 7 there's either some debris on top of the ground, something there to lead them to believe that we can't just say that's a clear 9 area. So, those are the areas now that we go 10 back and do the geophysical mappings on and 11 dig to verify is there really anything there 12 13 or not.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Now, these are, as I probably talked about some of these already, these are our planned activities we have coming up in the next several months. We're going to be out there doing some clearance and geophysical work. I plan to award a contract probably late February to do the actual surface clearance in the impact area, and then the following work, subsurface clearance contract will be out in the September time frame.

1	MR. PARKS: Can I ask you a question on
2	that?
3	MR. SKRIDULIS: Yes, sir.
4	MR. PARKS: During your surface clearance
5	and your subsurface clearance if you find
6	anything, what do you do with it?
7	MR. SKRIDULIS: It depends on what we
8	find. If it's a conventional ordnance, and
9	depending on the size of it and the quantities
10	that they find, they may be able to just
11	collect and blow them in place. If it's
12	something more intricate than that, they may
13	have to move it off and store it and then
14	figure out when to do that. I'm not really an
15	expert as far as how it goes with handling
16	munitions. But I know that's some of their
17	options are either to blow something in place
18	and destroy any explosive effects of it and
19	then get ready to scrap. Now, a lot of this
20	stuff that we find, probably seventy or eighty
21	percent of the things we find end up being
22	inert. And so those end up being, after they
23	are checked by the certified DOT folks and

1	they say they're inert, we just pile them up
2	and we eventually sell them. Not sell them,
3	but somehow we get them off to a scrap dealer
4	just like any other scrap metal. Now, you
5	know, chemical things is a whole different
6	issue. But the Eastern Bypass, all of our
7	records and everything we've seen to date
8	don't indicate that there would be any type of
9	chemical munitions or any other things
10	associated with the chemical school in this
11	area, which may or may not be the case in this
12	area. But if we did, I mean, our work plans
13	are set up that if we did run across something
14	like that it's basically stop work, pull back,
15	and get all the right people involved and then
16	take it from there. So there's contingency
17	plans in case we do run into something like
18	that. Are there any other questions on that?
19	MR. LEVY: Any questions outside of the
20	Eastern Bypass and just UXO program as a
21	whole?
22	MR. SKRIDULIS: Any questions in general
23	about the UXO I'll try to answer them for you.

1 MR. PARKS: Do you have a starting point? 2 Like are you going to try to start in the construction when they start on the bypass, do you have a specific area or are you just going to clear it off in one sweep and you'll be through in '99, 2000, you told me 2000, you'll be through with what your responsibilities are?

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. SKRIDULIS: Well, we should be through with everything that would need to be done. However, the reality is that there's always a chance, one of the things about UXO is you can only really key in on where you end up having groups of items that are buried. If you have large groups of areas and you try your best to find everything in that area but there happens to be a stray shot that was two hundred yards away, and there's nothing else anywhere near that, we may not pick that up in the first pass. So we have to have some plans in place and there are plans that when the Department of Transportation construction folks are out there, they are going to get

1	briefings on things they may encounter and
2	they'll have a procedure that says if you do
3	run across this type of item who you call.
4	And so we'll be there to support that too.
5	Because we know just from our past experience
6	even if you think you have everything, there's
7	always a chance that something else can pop
8	up.
9	MR. CONROY: Any sense of how much
10	naturally occurring ferrous material is out
11	there?
12	MR. SKRIDULIS: A lot. Yeah, that's
13	going to be one of the challenges for the
14	geophysical work, a lot of that keys off the
15	magnetic(inaudible) metal. But there are
16	different methodologies. And I'm not an
17	expert in that area but there's different
18	processes that we're looking at to do that.
19	But it just adds one more challenge to it.
20	MR. CONROY: It's still fuzzy. You
21	almost create a false sense of security if you
22	are not careful.
23	MR. SKRIDULIS: Right. Well, usually

1	what will end up happening is if we, I mean,
2	the worse case is we'll end up digging more
3	than we really wanted to. But anything that
4	looks like it possibly could be ordnance we're
5	going to go after it.
6	MR. CONROY: Treat it as if it were.
7	MR. SKRIDULIS: Right.
8	MR. CONROY: Thank you.
9	MR. LEVY: We've got two people here from
10	DOT. We've got Bill Adams who is going to
11	talk to us, he's the location engineer. This
12	is one of his many projects. And we've also
13	got somebody I believe who works for him, and
14	that's Terry Robinson, who has been my point
15	of contact on the Eastern Bypass. And Bill's
16	going to talk to you about the DOT piece in
17	this.
18	MR. ADAMS: I know this group is really
19	interested in what's on the Fort, but I was
20	going to take the time and I just want to
21	update you on the whole Eastern Bypass. From
22	our standpoint, the Eastern Bypass is broken

into two environmental documents for the

23

1	purpose of the study. One of it following
2	existing Golden Springs Road, the other that
3	with which is on the Fort. The environmental
4	document for the portion from I-20 up to north
5	of Choccolocco Road, that document was
6	approved in November of '97. Since that time
7	we have begun plan work. The portion that
8	we're farthest along on is from north of what
9	would be 78 due to the there's a
10	controversy as to what we're going to do at
11	U.S. 78 and we're in process of trying to
12	work that out. But rather than hold that
13	whole project hostage during that time, we
14	decided to go ahead and develop north of 78 up
15	to north of Greenbrier. That project there,
16	the right-of-way authorization has already
17	been approved. I think that was done in June
18	of '98. We're currently in the process of
19	appraising that right-of-way and doing all the
20	steps necessary to purchase the needed
21	right-of-way for that project. It is
22	scheduled to be let to construction later on
23	towards the end of this year. The projected

1	cost for that is about six point seven five
2	million. Second project in terms of
3	construction goes from north of Greenbrier to
4	north of Choccolocco where we start off on new
5	location. That portion has turned in plan
6	development, right-of-way is supposed to be
7	authorized so that we could appraise and buy
8	the right-of-way late this year with
9	construction set to start on that portion late
10	next year. And the cost on that I'm sorry,
11	right-of-way is supposed to be authorized
12	middle of this year with construction middle
13	of next year, about five point six million.
14	The second environmental document essentially
15	covers the area of the Fort, or the area
16	that's on new location where we leave existing
17	Golden Springs Road and tie back into U.S.
18	431. The draft environmental document for
19	that was approved in August of last year. We
20	held a public hearing here in Anniston at the
21	City Meeting Center on December the 3rd to let
22	people view that document and give us any
23	comments. Those comments have been supplied

1	to our consultant and they're in the process
2	of writing the final environmental document.
3	We hope to have that approved by the end of
4	February. During that time we have also
5	negotiated with the same consulting firm to
6	take the map that David and them have supplied
7	us, and do that preliminary design on that
8	mapping so that we can supply them
9	right-of-way limits for the areas that they
10	need to clean up from the unexploded
11	ordnances. We, as I say, we negotiated that
12	contract just now at the legislative oversight
13	committee in the Governor's office to be
14	approved, hopefully we'll get that approved
15	shortly after Monday or Tuesday. Once we get
16	that approved, it should take the consultant
17	about three months for them to do their work,
18	preliminary design wise and be able to supply
19	David that information. So we're still right
20	on schedule with each other, as far as they'll
21	be about ready to start in June and we'll be
22	ready to give them the information they will
23	need to start in June, so hopefully we're on

26

1 the same schedule there.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 MR. CONROY: Quick question. On that
3 first phase, you said construction would begin
4 later on this year, are you thinking the end
5 of 1999?

MR. ADAMS: End of 1999, yes, late this year, meaning November, December. Once the UXO's have been cleared from the surface standpoint and while they're still doing their total clearance, we'll bring our survey crews in and our drill crews, gather the information that we need to do the final design. This project is scheduled right now, which it's going to be, it's a tight schedule and it's going to be close if we make it but, but I mean, the good thing about that is we're like David, our money is sitting there in the bank waiting on us, it's just as soon as we can get it ready we can start. But right-of-way is supposed to be authorized for this project the end of this year with construction to begin the end of next year. And the portion that's on new location is estimated to cost about

1	forty-four million. Like I say, through the
2	latest federal transportation spending bill,
3	or T 21 as it's called, this project had forty
4	million dollars earmarked that can only be
5	spent on this project. So when we put our
6	matching money with that, we're ready to go to
7	construction just as soon as we can get it
8	ready. Any questions?
9	MR. LEVY: The meeting we had a while
10	back where we talked about the exchanges?
11	MR. ADAMS: Interchanges?
12	MR. LEVY: Excuse me, interchanges.
13	What's the status with that?
14	MR. ADAMS: We still need to get with
15	the, y'all use so many acronyms, I can't tell
16	you what, the local group
17	MR. CONROY: The JPA.
18	MR. ADAMS: JPA, there you go. We need
19	to get with them to come up with the
20	determination of is that going to be a
21	wildlife management area and if so, there
22	won't need to be an interchange because, you
23	know, the birds and the deer aren't going to

1	get on the interstate. When we started out,
2	it was proposed that that interchange would
3	serve an industrial access to the
4	redevelopment of the Fort. And we need
5	somebody to tell us whether that is the case
6	or is not the case. If we don't need an
7	interchange there we certainly don't need to
8	spend the time and money designing one.
9	MR. PARKS: What's the status, you spoke
10	of that one, there's going to be an overpass
11	or underpass over at the railroad tracks there
12	at 78?
13	MR. ADAMS: Yes, sir.
14	MR. PARKS: What's the status of that,
15	that wasn't included in your time line, right?
16	Do you have a time table for when you think
17	that might be resolved?
18	MR. ADAMS: As soon as we can resolve
19	that problem, I mean, we're ready to go to
20	work on it. The environmental clearance is
21	there, it's just a matter of working out the
22	logistics.
23	MR. CONROY: The whole issue is going

1	over it or through it, right?
2	MR. ADAMS: The funds aren't any problem
3	for that, no, sir. The funds are there, it's
4	just the issue of do we put an interchange at
5	U.S. 78 and grade separate U.S. 78 and the
6	railroad, or do we have a grade to signalize
7	the intersection. My recommendation was for
8	an interchange.
9	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Where does the bypass
10	come as far as intersection with 21, where
11	will it come out?
12	MR. ADAMS: The intersection of 21?
13	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Yeah, right.
14	MR. ADAMS: Comes out essentially right
15	on top of Summerall Gate Road and to the
16	north. I mean, you know, 21 splits and goes
17	north from 431, they split south of Summerall
18	Gate Road, the bypass ties in essentially
19	right on stop of the Summerall Gate Road
20	entrance now.
21	MR. CONROY: Would like Mikado's come
22	down, that little strip mall? Is that about
23	where it's going to come through?

1	MR. ADAMS: Yeah, it goes right through
2	there. There's a vacant area in between the
3	strip mall and another business, and we're
4	shooting for that area. Now, whether we can
5	contain the bypass within that vacant area is
6	something we've got to get further into the
7	design to determine.
8	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: The Calhoun County
9	Board of Education is located right in where
10	it breaks off. Is it going to leave that
11	alone?
12	MR. ADAMS: We're hoping to. Right now,
13	right now we're in that vacant area between
14	the strip mall and the board of education.
15	Now, whether we can stay within that area will
16	be something we'll have to determine in
17	design. All indications are that we are but.
18	MR. ROBINSON: You should probably point
19	out that the design of the interchange that we
20	intend to construct there is not the typical
21	diamond interchange. We have ramps in all
22	four quadrants of the interchange. It's what
23	we call the split diamond where all the ramps

1	are on one side, all the ramps will be on the
2	Fort side of 21. So basically on the west
3	side where the school board building is all
4	we'll have to do is construct the main line
5	through there.
6	MR. CONROY: So it should be okay?
7	MR. ADAMS: Right now we think we're
8	going to be able to get in there. But I don't
9	want to tell you we're not going to touch it
10	and then come back a year from now when we've
11	done our design and, oh, we are going to touch
12	it. I try to speak in vague terms at this
13	point so everyone will know we're not
14	expecting either one.
15	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: As far as any exit
16	ramps or anything, the JPA has not indicated
17	to you their developmental plan yet for you to
18	develop this far? Like you said there was
19	some discussion at one time about access to
20	the industrial
21	MR. ADAMS: Right, and that was, we were
22	working with, we met with the people that were
23	pushing for the wildlife preserve and it was

1	sort of, yes, there is one, no, there isn't
2	one, yes, there is one, no, there isn't one
3	type. We never got a firm grasp for do we
4	need an interchange there or not. And our
5	understanding is, I think that it's going to
6	be a wildlife preserve but we need to have
7	that confirmed.
8	MR. LEVY: Pete, are the boundary issues
9	still up in the air?
10	MR. CONROY: Yeah, we're in the middle of
11	those boundary discussions right now, but
12	nothing has been finalized. In fact, the
13	meeting tomorrow we should have a lot more
14	MR. ADAMS: What time is your meeting
15	tomorrow?
16	MR. CONROY: I was just going to say
17	maybe you can spend one night. At 12:00
18	o'clock noon. And it's a regular JPA meeting
19	and it would be terrific if
20	MR. ADAMS: Where is it going to be?
21	MR. CONROY: The Anniston City Meeting
22	Center, the Victoria Room, and they'll serve
23	pizza.

1	MR. ROBINSON: I think we ought to point
2	out from that question over here, what we're
3	talking about as you can see on the map on the
4	back wall, there are two interchanges we are
5	discussing internal to the Fort.
6	MR. ADAMS: The blue circles there on the
7	main line, that one and that one.
8	MR. ROBINSON: The southeast change and
9	the northeast change. There's no question
10	we're going to construct the north
11	interchange. The interchange under discussion
12	is the south interchange.
13	MR. CONROY: And in recent meetings it's
14	still expected that this is hoped for.
15	MR. ADAMS: Okay, and that's what, if
16	there's a need for it, certainly we want to do
17	what we need to do to design it and put it
18	there. But if it's going to be a wildlife
19	preserve and there's nothing ever going to get
20	off there, there's no need for an interchange
21	there.
22	MR. ROBINSON: But your position is, I
23	don't know which hat you are wearing tonight

1	or if it's all the same hat. You think that
2	the people who were advocating the wildlife
3	preserve would still want the interchange?
4	MR. CONROY: I think the JPA, who is the
5	final authority, is going to want the
6	interchange.
7	MR. ADAMS: We'll be there tomorrow to
8	ask questions.
9	MR. CONROY: Okay, great. In fact, can I
10	count on you being there?
11	MR. ADAMS: Yeah.
12	MR. CONROY: Okay, I'll make sure they
13	know first thing in the morning that y'all
14	will be there and might even have an
15	opportunity to be on the agenda just so
16	there's an open time to discuss it.
17	MR. ADAMS: That's fine.
18	MR. CONROY: Great.
19	MR. LEVY: Anybody got any other
20	questions? No more questions, okay. Terry,
21	Bill, I really appreciate you coming here.
22	MR. ADAMS: Thank y'all for having us.
23	We're going to get out of here if there is no

1	more questions.
2	MR. LEVY: I guess not. Let's see,
3	community relations report. I don't know if
4	there's a whole lot. I'm going to ask Joan to
5	speak.
6	MS. MCKINNEY: I just wanted to remind you
7	that next month is the normally scheduled
8	month to go out in the community and to see if
9	you had any suggestions where you would like
10	us to meet next month. And if you don't then
11	maybe I can just kind of figure it out.
12	Because you know we've been to the Anniston
13	Meeting Center, we've been to Weaver City
14	Hall, we've been to Quintard Mall. We went to
15	city hall last February and then we were at
16	the Anniston Meeting Center in May, and I
17	think in August we were at the Quintard Mall.
18	And I think the year before we also went to
19	the Meeting Center. Any thoughts, I mean, you
20	know.
21	MR. CONROY: Where is the logical place
22	for us to cycle to next, have you looked
23	through the cycle?

1	MS. MCKINNEY: Well, how far out do you
2	want us to look? Do we want to go out to
3	Ohatchee, do we want to go up to Piedmont? Do
4	we want to just kind of cycle through
5	Jacksonville, Anniston, and Oxford?
6	MR. CONROY: I'm kind of thinking staying
7	closer to the Fort makes sense, but whatever
8	you all think.
9	MR. HOOD: Have you considered maybe
10	something at Saks High School for that area?
11	MS. MCKINNEY: Yeah, let me see what I
12	can do about that.
13	MR. HOOD: I mean, it's definitely close.
14	MS. MCKINNEY: Sure, sure, let me work on
15	that tomorrow.
16	MR. LEVY: Have they got the facilities,
17	tables and chairs we can set up?
18	MR. HOOD: Well, they have had other
19	meetings there.
20	MS. MCKINNEY: Okay, that's very good I
21	think, thank you.
22	MR. LEVY: Okay. I think that's all
23	we've got in the community relation side of

1	the house. I think sometime in the future
2	though we're going to be looking at a
3	replacement membership. I think we're going
4	to be losing one or two folks, although that's
5	not been absolutely established. And I know
6	that the attendance has not been all that
7	good. When you actually look at our bylaws,
8	there was provisions in the bylaws for lack of
9	attendance. And we really haven't stuck with
10	those bylaws. Because I think was it after
11	three we decided to drop you, something along
12	those lines. But we understand that there are
13	folks that it's just not possible to make
14	every meeting, and sometimes you just have
15	conflicts that happen to fall on the wrong
16	days and therefore you don't get here. But if
17	you would, please, and I know you're not
18	getting paid for this and this is on your own
19	time and we really do appreciate that. But
20	without, you know, without a quorum we can't
21	take a vote. So if you would, please do what
22	you can to try to attend the meetings as much
23	as possible and I'll leave it at that.

1	MR. CONROY: Is there anyway anyone in
2	your office could call the RAB on the day of
3	or the day before? Some of the other boards
4	I'm on give, they have the courtesy of that
5	last-minute reminder and I think that might be
6	useful.
7	MR. LEVY: That would fall on Joan.
8	Y'all know that Joan is responsible for
9	setting the RAB up and the meetings so.
10	MR. CONROY: Would you mind, Joan?
11	MS. MCKINNEY: No, I don't mind, I can
12	handle that.
13	MR. CONROY: Does that make sense to have
14	a day-before reminder?
15	MR. LEVY: I don't know that we've got any
16	old business Pete, I wasn't at the November
17	meeting, I apologize for that, I was sick. Is
18	there any old business that we need to
19	discuss?
20	MR. PARKS: The only old business is at
21	the last couple of meetings they have
22	recommended that you get a JPA member to
23	attend and Commissioner Downy attended one.

1	MR. LEVY: Well, we've been trying to do
2	that.
3	MS. MCKINNEY: I did talk to them and they
4	had designated Lea Fite and he got the notice.
5	That's the designee to represent the JPA.
6	MR. CONROY: Add him to your day-before
7	calling list.
8	MR. LEVY: New business, do we have any
9	new business that we want to discuss? Might
10	be appropriate at this time if we have any
11	issues or desires for the next meeting to let
12	me know a focus for the next meeting. Lisa,
13	did we have something specific that we were
14	looking at?
15	MS. KINGSBURY: I haven't thought of
16	anything.
17	MR. LEVY: Let me bring up something, and
18	I don't know if you're aware of this, but you
19	should be because I've said it several times.
20	We have a budget, we have funding for this
21	RAB. I provided in the past a sheet that
22	shows what money we have. Some of the money
23	that we have is spent on the transcripts.

1	Some of the money we have is spent if we have
2	a need for a meeting room. But the money, and
3	their is specific guidance that the Department
4	of the Army puts outs, or the Department of
5	Defense puts out that allows me to do certain
6	things with the money. Certainly one of those
7	things is to bring in speakers who this RAB is
8	interested in hearing about. So if I need to
9	pay for travel or something for somebody to
10	come from some other direction, you know, that
11	is an expert in his field, we can do that.
12	Supplies and equipment as it goes to support
13	the RAB like paper and copies and whatnot is
14	within that budget. I'll tell you what I'll
15	do, and Lisa, if you'll help me out with this,
16	I'll provide at the next meeting where we are
17	with our budget and how much money we've got.
18	If you'll come to the meeting I'll tell you
19	what I'll also do, I'll also send you a
20	mailing of what the guidance allows us to
21	spend the money on. If you would come to the
22	next meeting and tell me what you would like
23	to see some of that money spent on, I would

appreciate hearing from you. Short of what 1 2 we're already doing with the money. If there's anything unique that you are really interested in hearing about, that's what the money is for, it's to pay for those things. So, what I ask again is that you come to the next meeting and maybe let me know what your thoughts are on that.

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. PARKS: Can I ask you a past question? At a previous meeting there was suppose to have been a decision on graphite smoke training in January, is that close to being made?

MR. LEVY: What I know is that the FONSI was published for the E.A. There are still comments coming in. I understand the EPA had some comments and I believe the State had some comments. They're all going to the chem school at this time. But I don't know what the final results of all that are. There's supposed to be a ninety-day comment period on the E.A. at which point they should able to begin the graphite training. But I've not

1	heard or seen what those comments are. Like I
2	said, I think the comment period is still
3	open.
4	MR. HOOD: What's the dates for that? Do
5	you have any idea?
6	MR. LEVY: I'll have to get back with you,
7	Ron.
8	MR. JONES: The dates were extended
9	somewhat because of the holiday period, wanted
10	to make sure that they covered the whole area
11	and that's why, like I said, they are still
12	getting comments.
13	MR. HOOD: Okay. I never noticed
14	anything published locally on it.
15	MR. JONES: It is in the legal notices.
16	MR. HOOD: Yeah, not very many people
17	look at those honestly.
18	MR. LEVY: The requirement is for it to be
19	in a recognized newspaper. In fact, that's
20	what we did. And you know what they do when
21	those legal notices are in the back of the
22	paper and they're very small but that's all
23	they do for legal notices.

1	MR. CONROY: Paul, your best guess is
2	still a couple of weeks?
3	MR. JONES: I want to say that it went
4	into the paper, it was published on the 7th,
5	and they were going to give them thirty-four
6	or thirty-six days. So, you know, we're in
7	that period right now when the cutoff for the
8	comments are.
9	MR. LEVY: You do realize that this FONSI
10	only covers the period of time for which the
11	chem school essentially is still here. So
12	we're talking eight, seven months time period.
13	It does not apply to future graphite smoke
14	training at McClellan. That would have to be
15	addressed as a whole separate environmental
16	document. So, and I can't tell you whether or
17	not the Army or anybody plans to do that.
18	Although, I know there will be units in this
19	area that will want to do that kind of
20	training here.
0.1	MANION WITH PROTECTION IN THE PROTECTION OF THE
21	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Ron, let me ask a
22	question, I think we've discussed this before.
23	I've got two or three questions. The first

1	one, we're talking about the Eastern Bypass
2	and the clean up and at this time we really
3	don't know the cost estimate of the clean up,
4	right? They are still evaluating to see to
5	determine. My question is, that's priority
6	one. And I know you said we're not going to
7	worry about the money. But we've got a lots
8	of other areas that if they are developed they
9	are going to have to be cleaned up. So, I
10	guess my concern is, what, we've got nine or
11	ten months and it will be closed. Are we
12	going to see clean up of the properties, is
13	there going to be funding there? We can't,
14	it's my understanding the funding has to be
15	appropriated funds, right, from Congress?
16	MR. LEVY: Right.
17	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Are we going to see
18	Fort McClellan cleaned up or is the majority
19	of the funds possibly going to go for the
20	Eastern Bypass and then as far as the
21	industrial development going to be used for if
22	there's anything there a possibility that that
23	couldn't be cleaned up?

1	MR. LEVY: Well, as far the Eastern Bypass
2	is concerned, and our funding really is broken
3	down into program areas. In fact, our UXO
4	funding is broken down into each of those
5	three program areas. The bulk of our funding
6	really is not at this point, I shouldn't say
7	that. The bulk of our funding right at this
8	point is in the HTRW, or the contamination
9	side of the house. We have separate funding
10	lines for various things, and the Eastern
11	Bypass has got its own funding line which we
12	do have the money in-house now for work for
13	this fiscal year. But it's separate and apart
14	from the other funding.
15	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Are they restricted?
16	MR. LEVY: I don't know what you mean by
17	restricted.
18	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Can they only be spent,
19	I mean, can you pull funds out if you run
20	short on the bypass then can you move that
21	money over to supplement the funds if you do
22	need any additional funding?
23	MR. LEVY: Yes, we can move dollars

1	around within the environmental program. I'll
2	be honest with you, dollars can also be pulled
3	from us to go to some other Army programs as
4	well. That's a possibility. It's a reality.
5	In fact, you know, it's happened out at
6	Anniston Army Depot in the past. So, there's
7	no guarantees on the dollars. That's all I
8	can tell you.
9	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: So if there's no
10	guarantees, there is a possibility there will
11	be some areas that could not be cleaned up?
12	MR. LEVY: Well, let's put it this way.
13	Whatever we can't clean up, we can't transfer.
14	If we cannot be protective of human health and
15	the environment in the transfer, then we
16	obviously can't transfer the property. So is
17	that a possibility? Yes, it's a possibility.
18	
19	MR. PARKS: What they're talking about if
20	they lose dollars in an extended period of
21	time the Army remains here. Like if they're
22	scheduled to get out 2006 and they take some
23	of the environmental clean-up dollars it will

1	$\alpha \circ$	+ 0	2007	and	2008.
	90	LU	2007	anu	2000.

MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Our concern as a 2 3 community is that we get it cleaned up where we can develop it so we can recover as soon as 5 possible. And, you know, we've discussed this 6 back and forth time and time again. But, you 7 know, when you depend on appropriated funds, there's a lots just like when they voted to 9 close the Fort, there's lots of politics that go in there and whoever the heavy weights can 10 determine whether our property and our 11 community is able to recover at a fast pace 12 13 from that. That's our concern and I think we 14 need to -- my second question is, in the 15 closing of the base, how much longer will this 16 organization function and what is going to be the staffing level as far as your office? 17 MR. LEVY: That's a good question. This 18 RAB will always be in session as long as 19 20 there's a clean-up program at Fort McClellan, 21 there's land to be cleaned up, there will 22 always be a RAB. In fact, when and if, there has been discussion, and Joe, let me introduce 23

1	Joe over here from Army Environmental Center.
2	I know there's been discussions on when to
3	disband a RAB and how that's supposed to
4	happen. But I can tell you that as far as
5	we're concerned here this RAB will always be
6	in session as long as there is a program here
7	at McClellan. Now, and there will always be
8	an environmental presence here. And the
9	staffing right, now just Ron Levy talking to
10	you, it's more than adequate and pretty much
11	going to be able to pull just about the same
12	staff I've got into the closer, and into the
13	post-closure process. I'll have contractors
14	and I'll have permanent employees, and I can
15	supplement with additional contractors because
16	of the money. So there will always be a
17	presence and there will always be a BRAC
18	Environmental Coordinator, my position,
19	hopefully that will be me, for as long as
20	there's a clean up process. They'll always
21	have a focal person to do that clean up and
22	that's supposed to be my job, that won't go
23	away. And again, with the RAB, I'll be part

1	of the RAB and in the community we'll be able
2	to provide their input through the process
3	through me through the RAB.
4	MAYOR KIMBROUGH Thank you.
5	MR. COX: Have you got your FY 99
6	funding?
7	MR. LEVY: Yeah, again, this is Ron Levy
8	telling you we have adequate funding in fiscal
9	year '99. But remember now, we're still in
10	the investigation stages, we've talked to you
11	about the CERCLA process, you know, the PA,
12	the SI, the RI, and we're really right now in
13	the SI stage. There is a lot of site
14	investigations going on so the money that
15	you're seeing within these years are in the SI
16	and RI phases, and there's a lot of studies
17	out there. And as we get in the out areas
18	that's when you start to see the remedial
19	actions, the removals.
20	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: I guess my concern,
21	and maybe it's a false concern is I could see
22	where all the money goes into the building of
23	a bypass and we have a nice bypass but we have

1	properties that we can't have access to
2	because of the possibility of the funds not
3	available for clean up.
4	MR. COX: And then bypass becomes a true
5	term, right?
6	MR. LEVY: But this bypass in terms of
7	what it's draw is on the overall funding on
8	Fort McClellan is not that large. If I could
9	give you a percentage, you know, five percent
10	of the funding is associated with this actual
11	bypass if you look at our overall funding.
12	MAYOR KIMBROUGH: Clean up and all?
13	MR. LEVY: Yeah, that's my guess. Five,
14	maybe a little bit more, but about five per
15	cent. So, the bulk of our funding right now
16	has gone into the investigation phases. And
17	in fact, because of as David pointed out to
18	you we have been able to define the majority
19	of that which we need to get in the yellow
20	area up here on this map, it's becoming even
21	easier to get, because we've pretty much
22	gotten to a point where we can eliminate most
23	everything else except for that area. And we

1	can focus on it. And the clean up is going to
2	be a lot easier than probably what we
3	originally thought it was going to be. And
4	most of that again is surface contamination.
5	True, we've got work to accomplish out there,
6	but the focus and the clean up should be
7	fairly easy.
8	MR. COX: Ron, just looking over the
9	slides you had on FY 2001, it drops down to
10	about nine million, close to ten. It's
11	thirty-seven in 2000 and then drops down to
12	nine million. Is that just what's projected
13	or is that you figure it's going to cost?
14	MR. LEVY: That's just projected, it's
15	going to change, I guarantee you. It's going
16	to change. Things are changing now.
17	MR. CONROY: Did you get slides for
18	tonight's meeting?
19	MR. LEVY: I originally had put out a
20	slide that kind of shows you by fiscal year
21	what our programs looked like. And again it's
22	in a constant flux, and it changes. Things
23	that are impacting on it are community

1	concerns, input from the RAB, whether or not
2	we actually have a discovery as we get into
3	the SI phase. In fact, the way the program
4	was designed was on a worse case basis, very
5	conservative. The assumption was that every
6	site we went into to investigate will be a
7	site that we have to go in and clean up. And
8	we know that not to be true. In fact, we'll
9	probably find that a lot of sites will get to
10	a no further action on it just because there's
11	nothing there. We have done our best, we've
12	looked real hard, we've done all the right
13	things in accordance with the wishes of the
14	regulatory agencies to see whether there's
15	actually something there, and the truth is we
16	just didn't find anything. So, one of things
17	that I can tell you that I've always said is
18	that this is a training installation, it's
19	always been a training installation as opposed
20	to Anniston Army Depot which is an industrial
21	facility. And the types of contaminants and
22	whatnot are different.

MR. COX: Or the volumes at least.

23

1	MR. LEVY: And the volumes too, yeah.
2	So, we've got different issues. The big thing
3	that's driving us is things like unexploded
4	ordnance because that's what we did for a
5	living out here. And you don't see that much
6	really on the industrial side of the house.
7	And we had motor pools and we had maintenance
8	facilities but not the type that you see at
9	Anniston that really generated large
10	quantities of waste that was eventually put
11	into the ground water. Anything else? I was
12	introducing Joe Richey from Army Environmental
13	Center. Joe, you want to raise your hand over
14	there? Joe, he's part of the public affairs
15	office at the Army Environmental Center and
16	one of his jobs is to get around and see the
17	RABs, the Army RABs within the BRAC
18	installation. Is it just BRAC or is it all
19	RABs, Joe?
20	MR. RICHEY: All RABs across the board.
21	MR. LEVY: He's a source of information
22	and he may want to say some things, I don't
23	know.

1	MR. CONROY: Would you mind standing up
2	front?
3	MR. RICHEY: No, not at all. I just
4	wanted to mention real quick especially to let
5	the RAB members and everybody know that the
6	Army Environmental Center not only helps Army
7	installations at establishing RABs, but we
8	also provide support to the RABs as they exist
9	such as maintenance and helping the RAB
10	continue to function. I, as part of that, am
11	trying to get into going to see some of the
12	existing RABs and see how they're operating.
13	Either, you know, choosing of my own accord or
14	if a RAB calls or something and says hey, we'd
15	like for you to come out to a meeting. We are
16	here, we're located in Maryland, I gave Joan
17	my business card. Ron knows where we are. So
18	if you all ever have any questions or
19	anything, you can contact me, and if I can't
20	get the answer I will find it out or find out
21	who you can talk with to get the answer to
22	your questions. But I just wanted to let you
23	know we can be of service and are willing to

1	help you. Thank you.
2	MR. LEVY: Thanks, Joe. Who else is in
3	the audience that we have missed? Anybody
4	interested in standing up and saying who they
5	are?
6	COLONEL LINDSEY: My name is Robert
7	Lindsey, I am a retired Army Colonel. I was a
8	post engineer here for four years and I
9	probably know where most of this stuff is
10	buried although I did not bury it.
11	MR. LEVY: I think that's all the new
12	guests we have. Any other audience comments
13	while we're at that stage?
14	MR. CONROY: Mr. Lindsey, what do we need
15	to be worrying about right now?
16	COLONEL LINDSEY: Well, I need to worry
17	about being demoted. It's Colonel Lindsey.
18	MR. CONROY: I apologize.
19	COLONEL LINDSEY: No, I think Mr. Levy
20	has been most articulate about what the
21	problems are is that you fairly well
22	identified the ranges and unexploded ordnance.
23	I think, the problem you are faced with and

1	one of the things Corps of Engineers is faced
2	with and this might be something you might
3	want to do sometime for your RAB program is to
4	have someone in to talk to you about the
5	technology that is being developed to find
6	this ordnance and that would go to your
7	question, sir, pertaining to the funds to
8	clean up ordnance elsewhere, because it is
9	getting more and more economical. In the past
10	the technology was basically a magnetometer
11	and you would, what they call mag and flag,
12	it's like the thing you see in the Sears book,
13	you know, the metal detector. You hear
14	something you put a flag in it and you dig it
15	up. In the past, two out of ten times it was
16	some type of ordnance, the other eight times
17	it was a bottle cap or what have you. But
18	those are the things you need to be concerned
19	about. Now if you had asked me about if you
20	were going to clean up Pelham Range, that's a
21	whole different subject. But you are not
22	going to ask me that.
23	MR. LEVY: Now some of that is actually,

1	we have done some, we have looked at some
2	technology that we have seen before and we're
3	probably going to hear more about that as we
4	get deeper into the UXO program. So, you
5	know, it's up to the RAB in terms of what you
6	would like to hear on that. I think that's all
7	we've got on the agenda.
8	MR. CONROY: Anything else by anyone?
9	MR. RICHEY: If I could just echo or add
10	to Ron's comment he made earlier about the RAB
11	being around, I can state very emphatically
12	that what Ron said is exactly right. As long
13	as we have a clean-up program here there will
14	be a restoration advisory board. That holds
15	true for all the installations. The Army's
16	latest guidance on restoration advisory
17	boards, I think this is the first time it's
18	gone into a lot of detail going into
19	disbanding RABs. I can tell you very few RABs
20	have disbanded, and that's not because they go
21	on forever. It's because in general the

environmental cleanup programs do take time.

One RAB that I do know of that was disbanded

22

23

1	was at an installation where they successfully
2	completed all their clean up and restoration
3	and everything has been turned over, and once
4	that happened, the RAB closed. So I just
5	wanted to mention that Ron, you were right on
6	target.
7	MR. COX: One of the things, I think it
8	was the Air Force that was talking about CABs
9	instead of RABs. It was a community group as
10	opposed to
11	MR. RICHEY: Right, and they are looking
12	at that as an experimental. It was a test
13	thing that they tried at a couple of their
14	installations. I haven't heard about that in
15	a little while, but the last I heard the
16	results weren't totally in yet. The
17	Department of Defense hasn't weighed in one
18	side or the other but evidently they allowed
19	the leeway for the Air Force to try this and
20	see how it is. And it's certainly something
21	that's being looked at.
22	COLONEL LINDSEY: If you are interested
23	in that you might want to look on the, if you

1	have access to the Internet the Air Force
2	Center for Environmental Excellence has a
3	website. They have documentation pertaining
4	to that.
5	MR. COX: Yeah, I've got it. One of the
6	meetings I went to one of the arguments that
7	the environmentalist had against that was that
8	they perceived that as a way to dilute the
9	environmental membership in the group. I
10	don't know whether that has any bearing or
11	not.
12	MR. RICHEY: I have heard pros and cons
13	on both sides. It is something still being
14	looked at.
15	MR. LEVY: Anything else? Move for
16	adjournment.
17	MR. CONROY: We are adjourned.
18	(Whereupon this meeting adjourned at
19	7:30 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	
4	
5	STATE OF ALABAMA)
6	CALHOUN COUNTY)
7	I, DONNA D. GALLAHAR, a Court
8	Reporter and a Notary Public in and for the
9	State of Alabama at Large, duly commissioned
10	and qualified, hereby certify that this
11	proceeding was taken before me, then as by me
12	reduced to shorthand, afterwards transcribed
13	upon a computer, and that the foregoing is a
14	true and correct transcript of the proceeding
15	to the best of my ability.
16	I FURTHER CERTIFY that this
L7	proceeding was taken at the time and place in
18	the foregoing caption specified, and was
19	completed without adjournment.
20	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a
21	relative, counsel, or attorney for either
22	party, or otherwise interested in the outcome
23	of this action.

1	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
2	set my hand and affixed my seal at Anniston,
3	Alabama, on this the 19th day of January,
4	1999.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	DONNA D. GALLAHAR Notary Public in
10	and for Alabama at Large
11	
12	My commission expires May 21, 2001.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	